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Least-squares exploratory factor analysis based on tetrachoric/polychoric correlations is a robust, defensible and widely used approach for performing item analysis, especially in the first stages of scale development. A relatively common problem in this scenario, however, is that the inter-item correlation matrix fails to be positive definite. The text contained in this document is an appendix to the information published in Lorenzo-Seva and Ferrando (2020).

An inter-item correlation matrix is positive definite (PD) if all of its eigenvalues are positive. It is positive semidefinite (PSD) if some of its eigenvalues are zero and the rest are positive. Finally, it is indefinite if it has both positive and negative eigenvalues (e.g. Wothke, 1993). This last situation is also known as not positive definite (NPD).

In the first Unweighted Least Squares (ULS) approach, uniqueness values $\Psi^2$ are first determined, and the loading estimates are obtained by solving the equation (e.g. Jöreskog, 2003):

$$ (R - \Psi^2)\Lambda = R^* \Lambda = \Lambda(\Lambda'\Lambda). \quad (1) $$

With the usual ULS constraint that $\Lambda'\Lambda$ is diagonal (e.g. McDonald, 1985), it follows that the estimated columns of $\Lambda$ are the eigenvectors of the reduced correlation matrix $R^*$. This means that, in order to obtain a solution for expression (1) in which the estimated elements of $\Lambda$ are real and unique, $R^*$ must be, at least, PSD.

Now, a reduced correlation matrix $(R-D)$ that is at least PSD can always be obtained, for example, via minimum trace FA (Bentler, 1972). If $R$ is PD, then, the diagonal elements of $D$ will generally all be positive, and can be properly interpreted as uniquenesses (i.e., $D =$...
However, if $\mathbf{R}$ is indefinite, one or more diagonal entries of $\mathbf{D}$ will be necessarily negative (Bentler & Yuan, 2011, Lemma 1). This implies that some of the communality estimates (the diagonal elements of $\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{D}$) would be greater than 1 (i.e. strong Heywood cases).

Assume further that $\mathbf{D}$ is chosen so that $\mathbf{R^*}$ has minimum rank (e.g., ten Berge & Kiers, 1991), we can then consider $\mathbf{R^*}$ as the model-implied reduced matrix that would be obtained if an EFA model with all the factors that are needed to account for the common variance of the items was fitted to the data.

The developments discussed so far account for certain results repeatedly discussed in the EFA literature (e.g. McDonald, 1985). So, if a model with fewer factors than those needed to account for all the common variance is fitted to the data, it is possible that no Heywood cases will appear. However, they are more and more likely to appear as the number of factors is increased. And if a model with all the needed factors (in the minimum rank sense) is fitted, they will certainly appear.

We turn now to the second approach. In this approach (of which MINRES is the best-known procedure), the ULS criterion is applied only to the non-diagonal elements of $\mathbf{R}$, and the uniquenesses (or commonalities) is obtained as a by-product. In this case $\mathbf{R^*}$ is not constrained to be PSD and is, in fact, indefinite: For $n$ variables and $k$ specified factors, the smallest $n - k$ eigenvalues of $\mathbf{R^*}$ sum to zero, which means that at least one must be negative. This result has been called Harman's paradox (ten Berge, 2000).

Now, to see how the improper results are also expected in this second approach, we shall first consider that the MINRES solution is also the solution of expression (1) when $\mathbf{R^*}$ is obtained by using the MINRES estimated uniquenesses (Harman, 1976). And then we shall see that the reproduced correlation matrix:

$$ \mathbf{R}^{rep} = \hat{\Lambda} \hat{\Lambda}' $$

(2)
is always PSD (Gramian) by construction. Now, as the number of extracted factors increases, \( R^{op} \) increasingly approaches \( R^* \) (i.e. a PSD reduced matrix) so the expected improper estimates when \( R \) fails to be PD are also expected to appear here.
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